**Backward design**

**Backward design** is a method of designing educational curriculum by setting goals before choosing instructional methods and forms of assessment. Backward design of curriculum typically involves three stages:[1]

- identify the results desired
- determine acceptable levels of evidence that support that the desired results have occurred
- design activities that will make desired results happen

Backward design challenges “traditional” methods of curriculum planning. In traditional curriculum planning, a list of content that will be taught is created and/or selected.[2] In backward design, the educator starts with goals, creates or plans out assessments and finally makes lesson plans. Supporters of backward design liken the process to using a "road map".[3] In this case, the destination is chosen first and then the road map is used to plan the trip to the desired destination. In contrast, in traditional curriculum planning there is no formal destination identified before the journey begins.

The idea in backward design is to teach toward the "end point" or learning goals, which typically ensures that content taught remains focused and organized. This, in turn, aims at promoting better understanding of the content or processes to be learned for students. The educator is able to focus on addressing what the students need to learn, what data can be collected to show that the students have learned the desired outcomes (or learning standards) and how to ensure the students will learn. Although backward design is based on the same components of the ADDIE model, backward design is a condensed version of these components with far less flexibility.

**Curriculum Design, Instructional Design and Backward Design**

Backward design is often used in conjunction with two other terms: curriculum design and instructional design.

Curriculum design is the act of designing or developing curricula for students. Curricula may differ from country to country and further still between provinces or states within a country. Curriculum is based on benchmark standards deemed important by the government. Typically, the time frame of attainment of these outcomes or standards is set by physical age.[4]

Instructional design is a technology for the development of learning experiences and environments which promote the acquisition of specific knowledge and skill by students.[5] In addition, instructional design models or theories may be thought of as frameworks for developing modules or lessons that increase and/or enhance the possibility of learning and encourage the engagement of learners so that they learn faster and gain deeper levels of understanding.

There are numerous instructional design models available to instructors that hold significant importance when planning and implementing curriculum. Many of the models are quite similar in that they essentially all address the same four components in some form or another: 1) the learners; 2) the learning objectives; 3) the method of instruction; and 4) some form of assessment or evaluation. Based around those components, the instructor then has the opportunity to choose the design model and stages that work best for them in their specific situation. This way they can achieve the appropriate learning outcomes or create a plan or strategy for improvement. As learners and instructors may vary, instructional design must be a good fit for both and therefore different models can have behavioral, cognitive or constructivist roots.
History

Ralph W. Tyler introduced the idea of backward design in 1949 when referring to a statement of objectives. A statement of objectives is used to indicate the kinds of changes in the student to be brought about so that instructional activities can be planned and developed in a way likely to attain these objectives.[6]

Jay McTighe, with Grant Wiggins, brought the term "backward design" to the forefront of curriculum design in 1998. McTighe has also authored several books and workbooks to aid those interested in learning assessment (Jay McTighe.com). Although backward design was introduced by the pair of authors, it was actually a term coined by Grant Wiggins, in his book Understanding by Design (1999, 1st ed.).

Advantages

According to Doug Buehl (2000),[7] advantages of backward design include:

- Students are not as likely to become so lost in the factual detail of a unit that they miss the point of studying the original topic.
- Instruction looks toward global understandings and not just daily activities; daily lessons are constructed with a focus on what the overall "gain" from the unit is to be.
- Assessment is designed before lesson planning, so that instruction drives students toward exactly what they need to know.

The Importance of Assessment in Backward Design

The primary starting point for backward design is to become familiar with the standards/outcomes for the grade level and curriculum being taught. The second part of curriculum planning with backward design is finding appropriate assessments. It can be difficult for "traditional" educators to switch to this model because it is hard to conceptualize an assessment before deciding on lessons and instruction. The idea is that the assessments (formative and/or summative) should meet the initial goals identified.

Wiggins and McTighe (2008) also utilize the "WHERE" approach during the assessment stage of the process.[8]

- W stands for students knowing where they are heading, why they are heading there, what they know, where they might go wrong in the process, and what is required of them.
- H stands for hooking the students on the topic of study.
- E stands for students exploring and experiencing ideas and being equipped with the necessary understanding to master the standard/outcome being taught.
- R stands for providing opportunities for students to rehearse, revise, and refine their work.
- E stands for student evaluation.

Other Models of Instructional Design

ADDIE Model of Design

Most models of instructional design follow the core elements found in the ADDIE model of Design: analyze (designer develops an understanding of the desired outcomes and the learner's knowledge and skills); design (documents learning outcomes, assessment tools, exercise and content); develop (creating the learning materials); implement (the created learning materials are
Many instructional designers and training developers use the ADDIE model as a generic process for creating other models. This model is purposely not designed to be followed in a linear step-by-step fashion, but rather is circular so that it is possible to re-trace steps once data have been collected and analyzed.\[^9\]

### Dick and Carey Model (also known as the Systems Approach Model)

The Dick and Carey model is made up of nine different stages which are meant to be executed in parallel, rather than linear, fashion, but this model still follows the same basic instructional design pattern of the ADDIE model, as does backward design. The Dick and Carey model focuses on the interrelationship between context, content, learning and instruction, and addresses instruction as an entire system.\[^11\] In this model, all of the components of this model work together to enable learners to meet the desired learning outcomes. The model includes the following components: (1) identify instructional goals; (2) conduct instructional analysis; (3) identify entry behaviors and learner characteristics; (4) write performance objectives; (5) develop assessment instruments; (6) develop instructional strategy; (7) develop and select instructional materials; (8) design and conduct formative evaluation of instruction; and (9) design and conduct summative evaluation. Both the Dick and Carey model and the backward design model are goal and objective oriented; assessment is created based on learning objectives and goals, and instruction is created based on evaluation and assessment. The Dick and Carey model, however, is a more systemic model in that making changes to components affects other components and, therefore, the changes occur in parallel. In the more linear backward design model, the steps are non-flexible which means that skipping or changing steps is not an option.

### Kemp Instructional Design Model (also known as the Morrison, Ross and Kemp Model)

The Kemp instructional design model is a holistic form of instructional design, taking into account all factors of the learning environment.\[^12\] It is very systemic and also follows the same basic design pattern of the ADDIE model. The Kemp model is much more focused on the individual learner needs and goals by following nine components: 1) identify instructional problems, and specify goals for designing an instructional program; 2) examine learner characteristics that should receive attention during planning; 3) identify subject content, and analyze task components related to stated goals and purposes; 4) state instructional objectives for the learner; 5) sequence content within each instructional unit for logical learning; 6) design instructional strategies so that each learner can master the objectives; 7) plan the instructional message and delivery; 8) develop evaluation instruments to assess objectives; and 9) select resources to support instruction and learning activities. The largest difference between backward design and the Kemp model is that the Kemp model is meant to be used on a smaller scale. This allows for easier adaptations to be made for individual lessons and individual learners. It also places more emphasis on support and service for learners and instruction.\[^13\]

### Supporting Research Using Backward Design

- Shumway and Barrett (2004) used the backward design model to strengthen pre-service teachers' attitudes towards teaching. The experience appears to have allowed the pre-service teachers to do exactly that after using both the backward design model and a modified backward design. These pre-service teachers became more excited about their teaching profession and became better prepared as student teachers through the backward design that they had experienced.\[^14\]

- In the article, *Essential Questions — Inclusive Answers* (C.M. Jorgenson, 1995), Souhegan High School followed the steps of a backwards design model to reach all levels of student ability and create a school that promoted full inclusion. They concluded that all involved had experienced a richer experience because of the implementation of
the backward design model.\[15\]

- In *An Integration of "Backwards Planning" Unit Design with the "Two Step" Lesson Planning Framework* (Jones et al., 2009), a framework for employing backward planning in designing individual lessons is provided. Educators are provided with an integrated framework and more importantly a case study of the backward lesson planning in action.\[16\]

- In the article, *Backward Design* (Childre, Sands, and Pope, 2009), examples of backward design are shown improving learning at both the elementary and high school levels. The research targets the depth of understanding for all learners. The fact that much research avoids the inclusion of special needs students is noted. The traditional instructional approaches that fail to engage disabled students were not an issue when backward design was implemented. The backward design was found to provide meaning and relevance to all levels of students.\[17\]

## External links

- Jay McTighe\[18\] - Jay McTighe's Website
- Backward Design; Forward Thinking \[19\] - Wisconsin Education Association Council
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[12] Instructional design models and theories.
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